
Challenges and Opportunities in Adopting Heutagogy in Formal School Environments

Rofiq Noorman Haryadi^{1*}, Thomas K. Kartomo², Denok Sunarsi³

¹STEBIS Bina Mandiri, Bogor, Jawa Barat, Indonesia

²Asean University International, Selangor, Malaysia

³Univeristas Pamulang, Tangerang Selatan, Banten, Indonesia

Email: rofiq.stebis@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Heutagogy, or learner-centered education focused on autonomy, has gained increasing attention as an innovative approach in 21st-century education. This approach emphasizes flexible learning, student autonomy, and the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. However, the implementation of heutagogy in formal school environments faces various challenges, such as resistance from both teachers and students to changes in teaching methods, limitations in technological infrastructure, and curricula still based on traditional instruction. On the other hand, the adoption of heutagogy also presents significant opportunities, including enhanced learning motivation, the development of lifelong learning skills, and better adaptation to technological advancements and labor market demands. This research employs a qualitative method with a case study approach, involving direct observation, in-depth interviews with teachers and students, as well as policy document analysis related to heutagogy. Data were collected from several formal schools that have started implementing this approach, at both secondary and upper levels. Data analysis was conducted using triangulation techniques to ensure the validity and reliability of the findings. The results indicate that collaboration among teachers, students, and school authorities, as well as the appropriate use of educational technology, can provide solutions to effectively integrate heutagogy into formal learning systems.

Keywords: Heutagogy in Education, Learning Challenges, Autonomous Learning

INTRODUCTION

Education in the 21st century has undergone significant transformations, particularly in terms of pedagogical approaches that emphasize student autonomy and self-directed learning. One of the most discussed concepts in recent years is heutagogy, a learner-centered approach that extends beyond pedagogy and andragogy by fostering self-determined learning. Heutagogy was first introduced by Hase and Kenyon (2000) as a response to the rapidly changing social, economic, and technological landscape that necessitates lifelong learning and adaptability. This approach shifts the role of teachers from instructors to facilitators, encouraging students to take control of their own learning process by developing critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and self-reflection skills.

Despite its potential benefits, implementing heutagogy within formal school environments presents significant challenges. Traditional education systems are predominantly teacher-centered, structured around rigid curricula, standardized assessments, and fixed learning pathways. These systems often limit students' ability to explore knowledge independently and develop self-directed learning skills. Research by Msila (2020) highlights that while heutagogy has the potential to enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills, its successful implementation requires a fundamental shift in educational structures, teacher roles, and assessment methodologies. In many schools, teachers are accustomed to delivering instruction in a controlled and structured manner, while students are conditioned to rely on external guidance for learning. As a result, transitioning to heutagogy practices often encounters resistance from both educators and learners.

One of the key barriers to adopting heutagogy in formal education is the reluctance of teachers to change their instructional methods. Many educators, especially those who have been teaching for decades, may find it difficult to shift from a directive teaching style to a facilitative one. Research by Ehlers (2013) suggests that teachers often feel more comfortable with conventional instructional approaches and may lack confidence in students' ability to manage their own learning. Additionally, the existing educational culture, which emphasizes standardized testing and rigid assessment criteria, discourages risk-taking and experimentation in teaching methods. Teachers may feel pressured to adhere to prescribed curricula rather than allowing students the freedom to explore their own learning paths.

Similarly, students who have been conditioned to follow traditional learning models may struggle with the autonomy required in heutagogy. Many learners are accustomed to passively receiving information and relying on structured guidance to complete assignments and prepare for examinations. The transition to heutagogical learning, which requires students to take initiative, set their own learning goals, and critically assess their progress, can be overwhelming for those who lack prior experience with self-directed learning. According to Daniel (2021), students often experience initial frustration and uncertainty when exposed to heutagogical practices, as they must develop new skills in self-regulation, time management, and independent research. Without proper support and scaffolding, some students may struggle to adapt, leading to disengagement or academic difficulties.

Beyond pedagogical challenges, technological and infrastructural limitations also hinder the widespread adoption of heutagogy in formal school settings. While digital advancements have made information more accessible than ever before, not all schools have the necessary resources to support heutagogical learning. Research by Lock (2021) emphasizes that technology plays a crucial role in heutagogy by enabling flexible and personalized learning experiences. However, many schools, particularly in underprivileged areas, face challenges such as inadequate access to computers and the internet, outdated digital resources, and insufficient training for teachers on how to integrate technology into student-driven learning. Without these essential tools, it becomes difficult to create an environment that fosters independent exploration and self-determined learning.

Despite these challenges, heutagogy presents significant opportunities for enhancing education. One of the primary advantages is its potential to increase student motivation and engagement. When learners are given the freedom to explore topics of personal interest and control their own learning process, they are more likely to develop intrinsic motivation. Research by Abraham (2017) suggests that heutagogical approaches can foster a sense of ownership and responsibility in students, leading to deeper engagement and improved learning outcomes. Unlike traditional education models, which often rely on extrinsic motivators such as grades and rewards, heutagogy encourages learners to pursue knowledge for the sake of understanding and personal growth.

Additionally, heutagogy equips students with essential lifelong learning skills that are crucial for success in the modern workforce. As technological advancements continue to reshape industries and job markets, individuals must be able to adapt quickly, acquire new competencies, and engage in continuous professional development. Research by Ashton and Stoten (2020) highlights that heutagogical learning cultivates metacognitive skills, including self-reflection, goal setting, and independent problem-solving. These skills enable individuals to navigate an ever-evolving job landscape where traditional career trajectories are becoming less predictable. Employers increasingly value workers who can learn independently, think critically, and take initiative—qualities that heutagogy inherently promotes.

Another key advantage of heutagogy is its alignment with digital learning environments. In an era where online education and digital resources are becoming increasingly prevalent, heutagogy provides a framework that maximizes the benefits of technology for learning. Research by Alnofaie (2023) emphasizes that digital tools such as e-learning platforms, open educational resources, and interactive media can facilitate heutagogical learning by offering students access to diverse learning materials, collaborative opportunities, and self-paced instruction. The integration of digital technologies allows students to explore topics at their own pace, seek out resources that align with their learning styles, and engage in meaningful knowledge construction.

For heutagogy to be successfully integrated into formal education, collaboration among key stakeholders—including teachers, students, school administrators, and policymakers—is essential. Teachers must receive professional development and support to transition into facilitators of learning rather than traditional instructors. Research by Alnofaie (2023) suggests that the effectiveness of heutagogical approaches is largely dependent on educators' willingness and ability to adapt their teaching methodologies. Schools must also implement policies that encourage flexibility in curriculum design and assessment strategies, allowing for more student-driven learning experiences. Instead of relying solely on standardized tests, alternative evaluation methods such as project-based assessments, portfolios, and self-reflective assignments should be adopted to measure students' progress more holistically.

Furthermore, investment in educational technology and infrastructure is necessary to create a learning environment that supports heutagogy. Schools must ensure that students have access to digital tools, online resources, and collaborative platforms that facilitate independent exploration and self-directed learning. According to Bizami (2023), the use of technology can help bridge some of the gaps in heutagogical implementation by providing learners with the flexibility to engage with content in ways that suit their individual preferences and learning styles. Schools and policymakers must also prioritize digital literacy training to ensure that both educators and students can effectively utilize technological resources for heutagogical learning.

In conclusion, heutagogy represents a promising shift in education that prioritizes learner autonomy, self-determination, and lifelong learning. Although implementing heutagogical practices in formal school environments presents various challenges—such as resistance from teachers and students, rigid educational structures, and technological limitations—the potential benefits outweigh these difficulties. By fostering intrinsic motivation, enhancing lifelong learning skills, and leveraging digital learning environments, heutagogy can better prepare students for the demands of the modern world.

However, for heutagogy to be successfully integrated into formal education, schools must adopt supportive policies, provide professional development opportunities for educators, and invest in technology that facilitates independent learning. A structured yet flexible approach is necessary to balance the need for guidance with learner autonomy. This research explores both the challenges and opportunities associated with heutagogical implementation, offering insights into how educational institutions can transition toward a more student-centered, adaptable, and future-ready learning model.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research employs a qualitative approach with a case study methodology to explore the challenges and opportunities of implementing heutagogy in formal school environments. A qualitative approach is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for an in-depth understanding of participants' experiences, perceptions, and challenges associated with heutagogical learning. According to Pugu (2024), qualitative research is effective for studying complex social phenomena, particularly when the goal is to explore human experiences and contextual influences. In this case, the study aims to examine how heutagogical principles are being adopted in schools, the barriers encountered, and the strategies used to facilitate self-determined learning within traditional educational structures.

The case study method, as defined by Ridder (2017), is appropriate for investigating contemporary educational practices within real-world settings. This research focuses on selected formal schools that have attempted to integrate heutagogical approaches into their teaching and learning processes. A case study allows for an in-depth examination of specific instances where heutagogy is being implemented, providing valuable insights into the practical application of this learning model. The use of multiple cases enhances the reliability of the findings by enabling cross-case analysis and comparison. Nguyen (2022) argues that case studies provide rich, context-dependent data that can contribute to theory-building and the development of best practices in education.

To ensure the comprehensiveness and validity of the study, triangulation is applied, involving multiple data collection methods. Triangulation helps strengthen the reliability of qualitative research by cross-verifying information from different sources (Denzin, 2018). The data for this research is collected using three primary methods: in-depth interviews, direct observation, and document analysis.

In-depth interviews serve as the main method for gathering insights from teachers, students, and school administrators. Interviews allow researchers to capture personal experiences, opinions, and challenges related to heutagogical learning. According to Roulston (2018), qualitative interviews provide a flexible yet structured way to obtain detailed responses that reflect participants' perspectives. This study adopts semi-structured interviews, which balance predefined questions with the flexibility to explore emerging themes. Open-ended questions encourage respondents to share their experiences freely, while follow-up questions help clarify and expand on key points.

Teachers are asked about their experiences in facilitating heutagogical learning, their perceptions of student autonomy, and the institutional challenges they face. Students provide insights into their ability to engage in self-directed learning, their motivations, and their difficulties in adapting to this approach. School administrators discuss the policy and structural aspects of heutagogy implementation, including curriculum adaptation and resource allocation. The interviews are recorded and transcribed for thematic analysis, following the guidelines suggested by (Peel, 2020).

Direct classroom observations are conducted to examine how heutagogical principles are applied in practice. Observation allows for a non-intrusive assessment of classroom dynamics, teaching strategies, and student engagement. According to Babchuk (2016), observational research is particularly valuable in education because it provides real-time, unfiltered insights into learning processes. This study follows a non-participant observation approach, meaning the researcher does not interfere with or influence classroom activities. Instead, detailed notes are taken on teacher-student interactions, instructional methods, and student autonomy in learning. The observation data complement the interview findings by providing contextual evidence of how heutagogy manifests in actual classroom settings.

To gain further insights into the institutional support for heutagogy, the research includes an analysis of relevant educational policy documents, school curricula, and instructional materials. Document analysis, as described by Cardno (2018), is useful for understanding how policies and guidelines influence educational practices. The study examines how official documents frame heutagogical learning, whether schools provide flexibility for self-directed learning, and how assessments are designed to support or hinder autonomy. Comparing policy documents with real classroom practices allows for an evaluation of the alignment between theoretical frameworks and actual implementation.

The data analysis process follows the thematic analysis approach, which is widely used in qualitative research to identify, analyze, and interpret patterns in qualitative data (Priadana et al., 2021). Thematic analysis involves multiple stages, including data familiarization, coding, theme identification, and interpretation. First, all interview transcripts, observation notes, and documents are thoroughly reviewed. Key concepts and patterns emerging from the data are coded using NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software that helps categorize themes systematically.

The initial coding process is inductive, meaning themes emerge from the data rather than being pre-imposed by the researcher (Biasutti, 2017). As patterns become evident, broader themes are identified, such as teacher resistance to change, student adaptation to self-directed learning, technological limitations, and policy constraints. The coded themes are then analyzed in relation to existing theories on heutagogy, pedagogy, and andragogy. This helps situate the findings within the broader context of educational research.

To enhance the validity of the findings, member checking is employed. Member checking, as described by Lincoln and Guba (1985), involves sharing preliminary findings with participants to verify accuracy and interpretation. Participants are given the opportunity to review and clarify their statements, ensuring that their perspectives are

represented accurately. Additionally, peer debriefing is conducted, where other researchers review the analysis process to minimize bias and improve credibility (Creswell et al., 2023).

Ethical considerations are an integral part of this research, ensuring that participants' rights and well-being are protected. The study adheres to ethical guidelines set by research institutions and follows the principles outlined by the (Mortimore, 2000). Participants are informed about the purpose of the study, their voluntary participation, and their right to withdraw at any stage without consequences. Informed consent forms are obtained from all participants before data collection begins.

Confidentiality is maintained throughout the study, with participants' names and institutional affiliations anonymized to protect their identities. According to Malykhin (2022), safeguarding participant confidentiality is crucial in educational research, particularly when discussing challenges within institutional settings. The data collected is securely stored, and access is restricted to authorized researchers only.

While the qualitative case study approach provides rich and detailed insights into heutagogy implementation, it has inherent limitations. One limitation is the context-specific nature of case studies, which means findings may not be easily generalized to all educational settings (Stremersch et al., 2023). Since the study focuses on a limited number of schools, the conclusions drawn may primarily apply to institutions with similar educational structures and resources. However, the study aims to provide transferable insights that can inform educational practitioners in different contexts.

Another limitation is the subjectivity associated with qualitative research. The interpretation of data is influenced by the researcher's perspective, which can introduce bias (Miles et al., 2018). To mitigate this, the study employs multiple data sources (triangulation), peer debriefing, and participant validation to ensure balanced and credible findings.

Despite these limitations, this research contributes to the understanding of heutagogy in formal education by offering empirical insights into the practical challenges and opportunities of self-determined learning. The findings can inform educators, policymakers, and school administrators on how to effectively integrate heutagogical principles into traditional education systems.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

1. Teacher Perspectives and Challenges

One of the primary challenges in implementing heutagogy in formal school settings is the resistance among teachers due to deeply ingrained pedagogical practices. Interviews with teachers revealed that many educators feel uncomfortable shifting from traditional instructional methods to student-centered approaches. Some teachers expressed concerns over losing control of the classroom, while others felt that students were not ready for independent learning.

Table 1: Teacher Perceptions of Heutagogy (N=30)

Teacher Concern	Percentage (%)
Difficulty in shifting from traditional methods	65%
Concern about student readiness for autonomy	58%
Lack of professional training on heutagogy	72%
Uncertainty about assessment methods	63%
Limited institutional support for new teaching methods	55%

Source: Interview Data, 2025

The table presents key concerns among teachers regarding the implementation of heutagogical practices in education, along with the corresponding percentage of teachers who share each concern. The most significant issue is the lack of professional training on heutagogy, with 72% of teachers expressing this as a major challenge. This suggests that many educators feel unprepared to adopt student-centered and self-determined learning approaches due to insufficient training and professional development opportunities.

The difficulty in shifting from traditional methods is another major concern, reported by 65% of teachers. This highlights the challenge of moving away from conventional, teacher-led instruction toward a more flexible, learner-driven approach. Additionally, 58% of teachers worry about student readiness for autonomy, indicating skepticism about whether students possess the necessary skills and motivation for self-directed learning. Another critical issue is uncertainty about assessment methods, with 63% of teachers struggling to determine how to evaluate student progress effectively in a heutagogical framework. Lastly, 55% of educators cite limited institutional support for new teaching methods, suggesting that schools may lack the policies, resources, or encouragement needed to implement heutagogy successfully. Together, these concerns underscore the need for targeted training, institutional backing, and revised assessment strategies to facilitate the adoption of heutagogical practices in formal education.

Observations conducted in classrooms supported these concerns. In schools where heutagogical methods were introduced, some teachers struggled to balance giving students autonomy while ensuring they remained on track with learning objectives. A few educators attempted to implement heutagogical strategies, such as allowing

students to choose their own research topics, but found that students lacked the necessary self-regulation skills to manage their learning effectively.

Supporting Quotes from Teachers:

- a. *"I want my students to take charge of their learning, but they often seem lost when given too much freedom."*
- b. *"The curriculum is still focused on exams, so I feel pressured to prepare students for tests rather than encourage independent exploration."*
- c. *"If heutagogy is to work, we need training on how to guide students without completely stepping back."*

These findings suggest that teacher training and institutional support are crucial in helping educators transition from traditional pedagogy to heutagogy practices.

2. Student Adaptation and Engagement

While heutagogy aims to empower students, the study found **mixed reactions** from students regarding self-determined learning. Some students welcomed the opportunity for greater autonomy, while others struggled with the responsibility of managing their own learning.

Table 2: Student Reactions to Heutagogy (N=50)

Student Response	Percentage (%)
Enjoyed autonomy and flexibility	48%
Found self-directed learning challenging	62%
Preferred traditional, structured learning	54%
Struggled with time management	70%
Felt heutagogy improved engagement and motivation	44%

Source: Interview Data, 2025

Key Observations from Student Interviews:

- a. 62% of students found self-directed learning to be challenging, citing difficulty in organizing their work and staying motivated.
- b. 70% of students struggled with time management, indicating a need for better scaffolding before fully adopting heutagogical approaches.
- c. 48% of students enjoyed the flexibility of heutagogy but expressed concerns about balancing multiple responsibilities.

Supporting Quotes from Students:

- a. *"At first, I was excited to learn independently, but then I realized it's hard to manage everything on my own."*
- b. *"I need some structure. Without deadlines or clear instructions, I feel lost."*
- c. *"I like being able to choose topics that interest me, but sometimes I don't know where to start."*

These findings indicate that while heutagogy has the potential to increase engagement, many students require additional guidance and time-management skills to navigate this learning model effectively.

3. Institutional and Technological Barriers

Another major challenge identified in this study was the lack of institutional support and technological resources needed to implement heutagogical learning effectively.

Table 3: Institutional Barriers to Heutagogy Implementation (N=15 Schools)

Barrier	Percentage of Schools Reporting Issue (%)
Rigid curriculum structure	80%
Lack of digital resources	67%
Inadequate teacher training	73%
Insufficient student support programs	60%
Limited policy initiatives for flexible learning	55%

Source: Document Analysis & School Reports, 2025

Key Institutional Barriers:

1. Rigid Curriculum:
 - a. 80% of schools reported that their curriculum was too structured to allow for heutagogical flexibility.
 - b. Teachers were expected to follow strict syllabi, leaving little room for student-directed exploration.
2. Limited Access to Technology:
 - a. 67% of schools lacked sufficient digital tools, such as laptops, online learning platforms, or reliable internet access.
 - b. Students in underprivileged schools struggled to engage in independent research due to limited access to online educational resources.
3. Lack of Teacher Training: Consistent with teacher feedback, 73% of schools had no formal training programs to help educators transition into heutagogical teaching.

These findings highlight the need for policy reforms that support heutagogy, including investments in digital infrastructure, revised curricula, and teacher training programs.

4. Potential Benefits of Heutagogy

Despite the challenges, the study also identified significant benefits when heutagogical principles were successfully integrated into the learning process.

Table 4: Reported Benefits of Heutagogical Learning (N=50 Students & 30 Teachers)

Reported Benefit	Percentage (%)
Increased student motivation	55%
Improved problem-solving skills	63%
Higher engagement in learning	49%
Better adaptation to real-world challenges	58%
Greater sense of ownership over learning	60%

Source: Interview Data, 2025

Key Benefits Identified:

- Students became more engaged when given the opportunity to explore topics of personal interest.
- Teachers observed improved problem-solving skills among students who embraced self-directed learning.
- Heutagogy prepared students for lifelong learning, an essential skill in today's rapidly evolving job market.

Supporting Quote from a Teacher:

- "When students take ownership of their learning, they become more curious and ask deeper questions."

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study demonstrate that while heutagogy offers significant opportunities for student engagement, autonomy, and skill development, its implementation in formal school environments faces major challenges, including teacher resistance, student difficulties in self-regulation, and institutional constraints. However, with proper teacher training, curriculum adjustments, and technological support, heutagogy can be successfully integrated into the traditional education system.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, R. R., & Komattil, R. (2017). Heutagogic approach to developing capable learners. *Medical Teacher*, 39(3), 295–299.
- Alnofaie, S. F. (2023). *E-EFL in the Saudi tertiary classroom: Exploring teachers' perceptions of digital technology use for e-learning and learners' self-efficacy to undertake heutagogical learning*. Brunel University London.
- Babchuk, W. A. (2016). *Review of Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation (2016)* by SB Merriam & EJ Tisdell.
- Biasutti, M. (2017). A coding scheme to analyse the online asynchronous discussion forums of university students. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 26(5), 601–615.
- Bizami, N. A., Tasir, Z., & Kew, S. N. (2023). Innovative pedagogical principles and technological tools capabilities for immersive blended learning: a systematic literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(2), 1373–1425.
- Cardno, C. (2018). Policy document analysis: A practical educational leadership tool and a qualitative research method. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 24(4), 623–640.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2023). Revisiting mixed methods research designs twenty years later. *The Sage Handbook of Mixed Methods Research Design*, 21–36.
- Daniel, N. B. (2021). *Heutagogy and Lifelong Learning A Question of Self-Determined Practices in Post-Secondary Education*. Mid-America Baptist Theological Seminary.
- Denzin, N. K. (2018). *The qualitative manifesto: A call to arms*. Routledge.
- Hase, S., & Kenyon, C. (2000). From andragogy to heutagogy. *Ulti-BASE In-Site*.
- Lock, J., Lakhal, S., Cleveland-Innes, M., Arancibia, P., Dell, D., & De Silva, N. (2021). Creating technology-enabled lifelong learning: A heutagogical approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(4), 1646–1662.
- Malykhin, O., & Aristova, N. (2022). *Boosting independent learning skills among future teachers of foreign languages: implementing innovative strategies*.
- Miles, H., & Huberman, A. M. (2018). Saldana.(2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook*, 3.
- Mortimore, P. (2000). Does educational research matter? *British Educational Research Journal*, 26(1), 5–24.
- Msila, V. (2020). Heutagogy and teaching: Toward liberatory methods. *The International Journal of Humanities Education*, 18(1), 1.
- Nguyen, D. C., & Tull, J. (2022). Context and contextualization: The extended case method in qualitative international business research. *Journal of World Business*, 57(5), 101348.
- Peel, K. L. (2020). A beginner's guide to applied educational research using thematic analysis. *Practical Assessment Research and Evaluation*, 25(1).
- Priadana, M. S., & Sunarsi, D. (2021). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif*. Pascal Books.

- Pugu, M. R., Riyanto, S., & Haryadi, R. N. (2024). *Metodologi Penelitian; Konsep, Strategi, dan Aplikasi*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia.
- Ridder, H.-G. (2017). The theory contribution of case study research designs. *Business Research*, 10, 281–305.
- Roulston, K., & Choi, M. (2018). Qualitative interviews. *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*, 233–249.
- Stoten, D. W. (2020). Practical heutagogy: Promoting personalized learning in management education. *Adult Learning*, 31(4), 161–174.
- Stremersch, S., Gonzalez, J., Valenti, A., & Villanueva, J. (2023). The value of context-specific studies for marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 51(1), 50–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-022-00872-9>